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#### Abstract

Using the principle of symmetric criticality for Motreanu-Panagiotopoulos type functionals we give some existence and multiplicity results for a class of variational-hemivariational inequalities on $\mathbb{R}^{L+M}$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $F: \mathbb{R}^{L} \times \mathbb{R}^{M} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Carathéodory function, which is locally Lipschitz in the second variable (the real variable) and satisfies the following conditions:
(F1) $F(z, 0)=0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^{L} \times \mathbb{R}^{M}$ and there exist $c_{1}>0$ and $\left.r \in\right] p, p^{\star}[$ such that

$$
|\xi| \leq c_{1}\left(|s|^{p-1}+|s|^{r-1}\right), \quad \forall \xi \in \partial F(z, s), \quad(z, s) \in \mathbb{R}^{L} \times \mathbb{R}^{M} \times \mathbb{R}
$$

We denoted by $\partial F(z, s)$ the generalized gradient of $F(z, \cdot)$ at the point $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p^{\star}=(L+M) p / L+M-p$ is the critical Sobolev exponent.

Let $a: \mathbb{R}^{L} \times \mathbb{R}^{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(L \geq 2)$ be a nonnegative continuous function satisfying the following assumptions:
$\left(A_{1}\right) a(x, y) \geq a_{0}>0$ if $|(x, y)| \geq R$ for a large $R>0$;
$\left(A_{2}\right) a(x, y) \rightarrow+\infty$, when $|y| \rightarrow+\infty$ uniformly for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{L}$;
$\left(A_{3}\right) a(x, y)=a\left(x^{\prime}, y\right)$ for all $x, x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{L}$ with $|x|=\left|x^{\prime}\right|$ and all $y \in \mathbb{R}^{M}$.

[^0]Consider the following subspaces of $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{L} \times \mathbb{R}^{M}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{E}=\left\{u \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{L} \times \mathbb{R}^{M}\right): u(x, y)=u\left(x^{\prime}, y\right) \forall x, x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{L},|x|=\left|x^{\prime}\right|, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{M}\right\} \\
& E=\left\{u \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{L} \times \mathbb{R}^{M}\right): \int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}} a(z)|u(z)|^{p} d z<\infty\right\} \\
& E_{a}=\tilde{E} \cap E=\left\{u \in \tilde{E}: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}} a(z)|u(z)|^{p} d z<\infty\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

endowed with the norm

$$
\|u\|^{p}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}}|\nabla u(z)|^{p} d z+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}} a(z)|u(z)|^{p} d z
$$

and the closed convex cone $\mathcal{K}=\left\{v \in E: v \geq 0\right.$ a.e. in $\left.\mathbb{R}^{L} \times \mathbb{R}^{M}\right\}$.
The aim of the present paper is to study the following eigenvalue problem $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ : For $\lambda>0$ find $u \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}}|\nabla u(z)|^{p-2} \nabla u(z)(\nabla v(z)-\nabla u(z)) d z+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}} a(z) u^{p-1}(z)(v(z)-u(z)) d z \\
& \quad+\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}} F^{0}(z, u(z) ; v(z)-u(z)) d z \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $v \in \mathcal{K}$, where $F^{0}(z, s ; t)$ is the generalized directional derivative of $F(z, \cdot)$ at the point $s$ in the direction $t$.

The motivation to study this problem comes from some mechanical problems where a certain nondifferentiable term perturbs the classical functions. Panagiotopoulos [26] developed a more realistic approach, the so-called theory of variational-hemivariational inequalities, see for example the monographs Motreanu-Panagiotopoulos [20], Motreanu-Rădulescu [21] and Naniewicz-Panagiotopoulos [23], Gasiński-Papageorgiou [11], where the problems are studied on bounded domains.

On unbounded domains the methods must be changed, because the embedding of the Sobolev space $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ into $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is not compact. A widely used tool in treating variational-hemivariational problems is the Principle of Symmetric Criticality, which states that it is enough to study the existence of critical points of a given function on a certain subspace, not on the whole space. For instance we mention the space of radially symmetric functions of $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. In the differentiable case this principle was proved by R. S. Palais [25] and it was successfully applied by T. Bartsch and M. Willem in [5, 6]. The case of locally Lipschitz functions was developed by W. Krawcewicz and W. Marzantowicz [13] and applied by A. Kristály [16, 17], and also by C. Varga [30], Zs. Dályai and Cs. Varga [10]. Extensions of this principle for Szulkin [29] type functionals can be found in the paper [14]
of J. Kobayashi and M. Ôtani. The case of Motreanu-Panagiotopoulos type functionals was investigated by A. Kristály, Cs. Varga, V. Varga in [18].

In the case when $F$ is of class $\mathcal{C}^{1}$ with $F^{\prime}=f$ problem $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ becomes

$$
\left(P_{\lambda}^{1}\right) \quad-\Delta_{p} u+a(x, y) u^{p-1}=\lambda f(x, y, u), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^{L} \times \mathbb{R}^{M}
$$

and was studied by D. C. de Morais Filho, M. A. S. Souto and J. Marcos Do [22].

When $p=2, L=0$, and $F$ is of class $\mathcal{C}^{1}$ with $F^{\prime}=f$, then problem $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ becomes

$$
\left(P_{\lambda}^{2}\right)-\Delta u+a(y) u=\lambda f(y, u), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^{M} .
$$

When $a \equiv 1$ or $a$ is radially symmetric or its level sets have some local or global properties, the existence and multiplicity of solutions of these problems were studied by Bartsch and Willem [5], T. Bartsch, Z. Liu, T. Weth [2, 3], T. Bartsch and Z.-Q. Wang [4], M. Willem [31].

If $p=2, a$ is coercive and $F$ is locally Lipschitz the problem $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ was studied by F. Gazzola, V. Rădulescu in [12], while the case $p=2, a \equiv 1$ and $F$ locally Lipschitz the problem $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ was investigated by A. Kristály [16], Cs. Varga [30]. In the above mentioned papers $\mathcal{K}$ coincides with the whole space.

Here the main results (Theorems 3.1 and 3.2) establish the existence and multiplicity of solutions of $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$, by using the Principle of Symmetric Criticality (see [18]) in connection with the Mountain Pass Theorem (Corollary 3.2 from [20]) and a three critical point Theorem due to S. Marano and D. Motreanu (see Theorem B in [19]). To do this we also used the following embedding property given in [22] by D. C. de Morais Filho, M. A. S. Souto, J. Marcos Do: $E_{a}$ is continuously embedded in $L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{L} \times\right.$ $\mathbb{R}^{M}$ ) if $p \leq s \leq p^{*}$, and compactly embedded if $p<s<p^{*}$. These results are given in Section 2 together with two examples. Section 3 and 4 contain the proofs of the main theorems together with some auxiliary results. The Appendix is devoted to the Principle of Symmetric Criticality for Motreanu-Panagiotopoulos functionals.

## 2. Basic Notions and Preliminary Results

Let $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space and $X^{*}$ its topological dual. A function $h: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called locally Lipschitz if each point $u \in X$ possesses a neighborhood $\mathcal{N}_{u}$ such that $\left|h\left(u_{1}\right)-h\left(u_{2}\right)\right| \leq L\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|$ for all $u_{1}, u_{2} \in \mathcal{N}_{u}$, for a constant $L>0$ depending on $\mathcal{N}_{u}$. The generalized directional derivative of $h$ at the point $u \in X$ in the direction $z \in X$ is

$$
h^{0}(u ; z)=\limsup _{w \rightarrow u, t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{h(w+t z)-h(w)}{t}
$$

The generalized gradient of $h$ at $u \in X$ is defined by

$$
\partial h(u)=\left\{x^{*} \in X^{*}:\left\langle x^{*}, x\right\rangle \leq h^{0}(u ; x), \quad \forall x \in X\right\}
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is the duality pairing between $X^{*}$ and $X$.
Let $\mathcal{I}=h+\psi$, with $h: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ locally Lipschitz and $\psi: X \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ convex, proper (i.e., $\psi \not \equiv+\infty$ ), and lower semicontinuous. $\mathcal{I}$ is a MotreanuPanagiotopoulos type functional, see [20, Chapter 3 ].

DEFINITION 2.1 ([20, Definition 3.1]). An element $u \in X$ is said to be $a$ critical point of $\mathcal{I}=h+\psi$, if

$$
h^{0}(u ; v-u)+\psi(v)-\psi(u) \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in X
$$

In this case, $\mathcal{I}(u)$ is a critical value of $\mathcal{I}$.

Let $G$ be a topological group which acts linearly on $X$, i.e., the action $G \times X \rightarrow X:[g, u] \mapsto g u$ is continuous and for every $g \in G$, the map $u \mapsto g u$ is linear. The group $G$ induces an action of the same type on the dual space $X^{*}$ defined by $\left\langle g x^{*}, u\right\rangle=\left\langle x^{*}, g^{-1} u\right\rangle$ for every $g \in G, u \in X$ and $x^{*} \in X^{*}$. A function $h: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ is $G$-invariant if $h(g u)=h(u)$ for every $g \in G$ and $u \in X$. A set $K \subseteq X$ (or $K \subseteq X^{*}$ ) is $G$-invariant if $g K=\{g u: u \in K\} \subseteq K$ for every $g \in G$. Let

$$
\Sigma=\{u \in X: g u=u \text { for every } g \in G\}
$$

the fixed point set of $X$ under $G$. The Principle of Symmetric Criticality for Motreanu-Panagiotopoulos functionals is the following.

THEOREM 2.1. Let $X$ be a reflexive Banach space and $\mathcal{I}=h+\psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup$ $\{+\infty\}$ be a Motreanu-Panagiotopoulos type functional. If a compact group $G$ acts linearly on $X$, and the functionals $h$ and $\psi$ are $G$-invariant, then every critical point of $\left.\mathcal{I}\right|_{\Sigma}$ is also a critical point of $\mathcal{I}$.

DEFINITION 2.2 ([20, Definition 3.2]). The functional $\mathcal{I}=h+\psi$ is said to satisfy the Palais-Smale condition at level $c \in \mathbb{R}\left(\operatorname{shortly},(P S)_{c}\right)$, if every sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ from $X$ satisfying $\mathcal{I}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ and

$$
h^{0}\left(u_{n} ; v-u_{n}\right)+\psi(v)-\psi\left(u_{n}\right) \geq-\varepsilon_{n}\left\|v-u_{n}\right\|, \quad \forall v \in X
$$

for a sequence $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)$ in $[0, \infty)$ with $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$, contains a convergent subsequence. If $(P S)_{c}$ is verified for all $c \in \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{I}$ is said to satisfy the Palais-Smale condition (shortly,(PS)).

For the later use a non smooth version of the Mountain Pass Theorem, i.e. Corollary 3.2 from [20].

THEOREM 2.2. Assume that the functional $I: X \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ defined by $I=h+\psi$, satisfies $(P S), I(0)=0$, and
(i) there exist constants $\alpha>0$ and $\rho>0$, such that $I(u) \geq \alpha$ for all $\|u\|=\rho$;
(ii) there exists $e \in X$, with $\|e\|>\rho$ and $I(e) \leq 0$.

Then, the number

$$
c=\inf _{f \in \Gamma} \sup _{t \in[0,1]} I(f(t)),
$$

where

$$
\Gamma=\{f \in C([0,1], X): f(0)=0, f(1)=e\},
$$

is a critical value of I with $c \geq \alpha$.
Let $h_{1}, h_{2}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be locally Lipschitz functions, and let $\left.\psi_{1}: X \rightarrow\right]-\infty$, $+\infty]$ be a convex, proper, lower semicontinuous function. Then the function $h_{1}+\psi_{1}+\lambda h_{2}$ is a Motreanu-Panagiotopoulos type functional for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. The following result was proved by Marano and Motreanu [19], Theorem B.

THEOREM 2.3. Suppose that $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ is a separable and reflexive Banach space. Let $I_{1}=h_{1}+\psi_{1}, I_{2}=h_{2}$, and let $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. We assume that:
$\left(a_{1}\right) h_{1}$ is weakly sequentially lower semicontinuous and $h_{2}$ is weakly sequentially continuous;
(a2) for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ the function $I_{1}+\lambda I_{2}$ fulfils $(P S)_{c}, c \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$
\lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow+\infty}\left(I_{1}(u)+\lambda I_{2}(u)\right)=+\infty ;
$$

$\left(a_{3}\right)$ there exists a continuous concave function $h: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$
\sup _{\lambda \in \Lambda} \inf _{u \in X}\left(I_{1}(u)+\lambda I_{2}(u)+h(\lambda)\right)<\inf _{u \in X} \sup _{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left(I_{1}(u)+\lambda I_{2}(u)+h(\lambda)\right) .
$$

Then, there exists an open interval $\Lambda_{0} \subset \Lambda$, such that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{0}$ the function $I_{1}+\lambda I_{2}$ has at least three critical points in $X$.

We introduce the functional $\mathcal{F}: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\mathcal{F}(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}} F(z, u(z)) d z
$$

In our proofs we will need the following result (see [10]).
LEMMA 2.1. If the function $F$ satisfies the condition $(\mathrm{F} 1)$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is locally Lipschitz and we have

$$
\mathcal{F}^{0}(u, v) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}} F^{0}(z, u(z) ; v(z)) d z
$$

for every $u, v \in E$. Moreover, the above inequality remains true on every closed subspace $Y$ of $E$ :

$$
\left(\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{Y}\right)^{0}(u, v) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}} F^{0}(z, u(z) ; v(z)) d z
$$

for every $u, v \in Y$.

Let $\left.\left.\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}: E \rightarrow\right]-\infty,+\infty\right]$ be defined by

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{p}\|u\|^{p}-\lambda \mathcal{F}(u)+\psi_{\mathcal{K}}(u)
$$

where $\psi_{\mathcal{K}}(u)$ denotes the indicator function of the closed convex cone $\mathcal{K}$, i.e.

$$
\psi_{\mathcal{K}}(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
0, & \text { if } \quad x \in \mathcal{K} \\
+\infty, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Clearly $\psi_{\mathcal{K}}$ is convex and lower-semicontinuous on $E$.
Now we rewrite problem $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ by using the duality map. By Theorem 3.5 from [1] it follows that $E$ is a separable, reflexive and uniform convex Banach space. We denote by $E^{\star}$ its dual. Let $J: E \rightarrow E^{\star}$ the duality mapping corresponding to the weight function $\varphi:[0,+\infty[\rightarrow[0,+\infty[$ defined by $\varphi(t)=t^{p-1}$, where $\left.p \in\right] 1,+\infty[$. It is well known that the duality mapping $J$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\|J u\|_{\star}=\varphi(\|u\|) \quad \text { and } \quad\langle J u, u\rangle=\|J u\|_{\star}\|u\| \quad \text { for all } \quad u \in E .
$$

Moreover, the functional $\chi: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\chi(u)=(1 / p)\|u\|^{p}$ is convex and Gateaux differentiable on $E$, and $d \chi=J$. For these properties of the duality mapping $J$ we refer to [8].

The problem $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ can be reformulated in the following way: For $\lambda>0$ find $u \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$
\langle J u, v-u\rangle+\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}} F^{0}(z, u(z) ; v(z)-u(z)) d x \geq 0
$$

for every $v \in \mathcal{K}$.
LEMMA 2.2. Fix $\lambda>0$ arbitrary. Every critical point $u \in E$ of the functional $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$ is a solution of the problem $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$.

Proof. Since $u \in E$ is a critical point of the functional $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$, one has

$$
\langle J u, v-u\rangle+\lambda(-\mathcal{F})^{0}(u ; v-u)+\psi_{\mathcal{K}}(v)-\psi_{\mathcal{K}}(u) \geq 0
$$

for every $v \in E$. From Lemma 2.1 we obtain

$$
\langle J u, v-u\rangle+\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}} F^{0}(z, u(z) ; u(z)-v(z)) d z+\psi_{\mathcal{K}}(v)-\psi_{\mathcal{K}}(u) \geq 0
$$

for every $v \in E$.
Therefore $u \in \mathcal{K}$ and for every $v \in \mathcal{K}$ we have

$$
\langle J u, v-u\rangle+\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}} F^{0}(z, u(z) ; u(z)-v(z)) d z \geq 0 .
$$

We consider a non-negative continuous function $a: \mathbb{R}^{L} \times \mathbb{R}^{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(L \geq 2)$ satisfying the assumptions $\left(A_{1}\right),\left(A_{2}\right),\left(A_{3}\right)$ given in Section 1 and recall the following subspaces of $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{L} \times \mathbb{R}^{M}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{E}=\left\{u \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{L} \times \mathbb{R}^{M}\right): u(x, y)=u\left(x^{\prime}, y\right) \forall x, x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{L},|x|=\left|x^{\prime}\right|, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{M}\right\}, \\
& E=\left\{u \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{L} \times \mathbb{R}^{M}\right): \int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}} a(z)|u(z)|^{p} d z<\infty\right\}, \\
& E_{a}=\tilde{E} \cap E=\left\{u \in \tilde{E}: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}} a(z)|u(z)|^{p} d z<\infty\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

endowed with the norm

$$
\|u\|^{p}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}}|\nabla u(z)|^{p} d z+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}} a(z)|u(z)|^{p} d z .
$$

The next result is proved by de Morais Filho, Souto, Marcos Do [22] and is a very useful tool in our investigations.

THEOREM 2.4. If $\left(A_{1}\right),\left(A_{2}\right)$ and $\left(A_{3}\right)$ hold, then the Banach space $E_{a}$ is continuously embedded in $L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{L} \times \mathbb{R}^{M}\right)$, if $p \leq s \leq p^{*}$, and compactly embedded if $p<s<p^{*}$.

We have,

$$
\|u\|_{s} \leq C(s)\|u\| \quad \text { for each } u \in E_{a},
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{s}$ is the norm in $L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{L} \times \mathbb{R}^{M}\right)$ and $C(s)>0$ is the embedding constant.

## 3. Main Results and Examples

Let

$$
G=\left\{g: E \rightarrow E: g(v)=v \circ\left(\begin{array}{ll}
R & 0 \\
0 & I d_{\mathbb{R}^{M}}
\end{array}\right), R \in O\left(\mathbb{R}^{L}\right)\right\},
$$

where $O\left(\mathbb{R}^{L}\right)$ is the set of all rotations on $\mathbb{R}^{L}$ and $I d_{\mathbb{R}^{M}}$ denotes the $M \times M$ identity matrix. The elements of $G$ leave $\mathbb{R}^{L+M}$ invariant, i.e. $g\left(\mathbb{R}^{L+M}\right)=$ $\mathbb{R}^{L+M}$ for all $g \in G$.

The action of $G$ over $E$ is defined by

$$
(g u)(z)=u\left(g^{-1} z\right), \quad g \in G, \quad u \in E, \quad \text { a.e. } z \in \mathbb{R}^{L+M} .
$$

As usual we shall write $g u$ in place of $\pi(g) u$.
A function $u$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^{L+M}$ is said to be $G$-invariant, if

$$
u(g z)=u(z), \forall g \in G, \text { a.e. } z \in \mathbb{R}^{L+M} .
$$

Then $u \in E$ is $G$-invariant if and only if $u \in \Sigma$, where

$$
\Sigma:=E_{a}=\tilde{E} \cap E .
$$

We observe that the norm

$$
\|u\|=\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}}\left(|\nabla u(z)|^{p}+a(z)|u(z)|^{p}\right) d z\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

is $G$-invariant.
In order to study our problem we give the assumptions on the nonlinear function $F$. We assume that $F: \mathbb{R}^{L} \times \mathbb{R}^{M} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function, which is locally Lipschitz in the second variable (the real variable) and satisfies the following conditions:
(F1) $F(z, 0)=0$, and there exist $c_{1}>0$ and $\left.r \in\right] p, p^{\star}[$ such that

$$
|\xi| \leq c_{1}\left(|s|^{p-1}+|s|^{r-1}\right), \quad \forall \xi \in \partial F(z, s), \quad(z, s) \in \mathbb{R}^{L+M} \times \mathbb{R}
$$

We denoted by $\partial F(z, s)$ the generalized gradient of $F(z, \cdot)$ at the point $s \in \mathbb{R}$.
(F2) $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\max \{|\xi|: \xi \in \partial F(z, s)\}}{|s|^{p-1}}=0 \quad$ uniformly for every $z \in \mathbb{R}^{L+M}$.
(F3) There exists $v>p$ such that

$$
v F(z, s)+F^{0}(z, s ;-s) \leq 0, \quad \forall(z, s) \in \mathbb{R}^{L+M} \times \mathbb{R} .
$$

(F4) There exists $r>0$ such that

$$
\inf \left\{F(z, s):(z,|s|) \in \mathbb{R}^{L+M} \times[r, \infty)\right\}>0 .
$$

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 4.1 in [17] one has.
Remark 3.1. (a) If $F: \mathbb{R}^{L+M} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies (F1) and (F2), then for every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $c(\varepsilon)>0$ such that
(i) $|\xi| \leq \varepsilon|S|^{p-1}+c(\varepsilon)|s|^{r-1}, \quad \forall \xi \in \partial F(z, s), \quad(z, s) \in \mathbb{R}^{L+M} \times \mathbb{R}$;
(ii) $|F(z, s)| \leq \varepsilon|s|^{p}+c(\varepsilon)|s|^{r}, \quad \forall(z, s) \in \mathbb{R}^{L+M} \times \mathbb{R}$.
(b) If $F: \mathbb{R}^{L+M} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies (F1), (F3) and (F4), then there exist $c_{2}, c_{3}>0$ and $\left.v \in\right] p, p^{\star}[$ such that

$$
F(z, s) \geq c_{2}|s|^{\nu}-c_{3}|s|^{p} .
$$

To study the existence of the solutions of problem $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$, it is sufficient to prove the existence of critical points of the functional $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$ (see Lemma 2.2).

The main results of the paper are:

THEOREM 3.1. Let $F: \mathbb{R}^{L} \times \mathbb{R}^{M} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function, which satisfies (F1)-(F4) and $F(\cdot, s)$ is $G$-invariant for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for every $\lambda>0$ problem $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ has a nontrivial positive solution.

From the other hand, by replacing (F3) and (F4) with the following two conditions
( $\left.\mathbf{F}^{\prime} \mathbf{3}\right)$ There exist $\left.q \in\right] 0, p\left[, v \in\left[p, p^{\star}\right], \alpha \in L^{\frac{v}{v-q}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{L+M}\right), \beta \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{L+M}\right)\right.$ such that

$$
F(z, s) \leq \alpha(z)|s|^{q}+\beta(z)
$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and a.e. $z \in \mathbb{R}^{L+M}$;
( $\mathbf{F}^{\prime} \mathbf{4}$ ) There exists $u_{0} \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}} F\left(z, u_{0}(z)\right) d z>0 ;$ we obtain at least three solutions to problem $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$. To be precise we establish the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.2. Let $F: \mathbb{R}^{L} \times \mathbb{R}^{M} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function which satisfies ( F 1 ), ( F 2 ), ( $\mathrm{F}^{\prime} 3$ ), ( $\mathrm{F}^{\prime} 4$ ) and $F(\cdot, s)$ is $G$-invariant for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists an open interval $\Lambda_{0} \subset \Lambda$ such that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{0}$ problem $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ has at least three distinct solutions which are axially symmetric.

Remark 3.2. If in the above theorem we change the condition ( $\mathrm{F}^{\prime} 3$ ) with ( $\left.\mathbf{F}^{\prime \prime} \mathbf{3}\right) \lim \sup _{|s| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{F(z, s)}{|s|^{p}} \leq 0$, uniformly in $z \in \mathbb{R}^{L} \times \mathbb{R}^{M}$, then the conclusion of Theorem 3.2 remains true.

Here we give two examples, where the above results can be applied successfully.

EXAMPLE 3.1. Let $k \in \mathbb{R}, k>1$. We define the sequence of real numbers ( $A_{n}$ ) by $A_{0}=0$, and

$$
A_{n}=\frac{1}{1^{k}}+\frac{1}{2^{k}}+\frac{1}{3^{k}}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n^{k}}, \quad n \geq 1 .
$$

Let $r>p>2$. We consider the functions $f, F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given respectively by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.f(s)=s|s|^{p-2}\left(|s|^{r-p}+A_{n}\right) \text { for } s \in\right]-n-1,-n\right] \cup[n, n+1[, n \in \mathbb{N}, \\
& \left.\left.F(u)=\int_{0}^{u} f(s) d s \text { for } u \in\right]-n-1,-n\right] \cup[n, n+1[, n \in \mathbb{N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly $F$ satisfies (F1), (F2), (F3) and (F4), hence owing to Theorem 3.1 problem $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ has a nontrivial positive solution.

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let $A: \mathbb{R}^{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous, nonnegative, not identically zero, axially symmetric function with compact support in $\mathbb{R}^{L}$. We consider $F: \mathbb{R}^{L} \times \mathbb{R}^{M} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
F((x, y), s)=A(x) \min \left\{s^{r},|s|^{q}\right\} \quad \text { for }(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{L} \times \mathbb{R}^{M}, s \in \mathbb{R}
$$

where $r \in] p,(L+M) p / L+M-p[$ is an odd number and $q \in] 0, p[$. The function $F$ satisfies the assumptions ( F 1 ), ( F 2 ), ( $\mathrm{F}^{\prime} 3$ ) and ( $\mathrm{F}^{\prime} 4$ ) and $F(\cdot, s$ )
is $G$-invariant for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Theorem 3.2 implies that there exists an open interval $\Lambda_{0} \subset \Lambda$ such that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{0}$ problem $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ has at least three distinct solutions which are axially symmetric.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 3.1

Because the cone $\mathcal{K}$ is $G$-invariant, it follows that $\psi_{\mathcal{K}}$ is $G$-invariant. Taking into account that the action of $G$ is linear and isometric on $E$, we deduce that the function $\chi(u)=\frac{1}{p}\|u\|^{p}$ is $G$-invariant. The function $\mathcal{F}$ is also $G$-invariant, because $F(\cdot, s)$ is $G$-invariant for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$. If we apply Theorem 2.1, it is sufficient to prove that the functional $\mathcal{I}_{\Sigma}:=\left.\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}\right|_{\Sigma}$ has critical points, which implies that the functional $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$ has critical points, which are solutions for problem $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$. We introduce the following notations:

$$
\left.\|\cdot\|\right|_{\Sigma}=\left.\|\cdot\|\right|_{\Sigma}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}=\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{\Sigma}, \quad \psi_{\Sigma}=\left.\psi_{\mathcal{K}}\right|_{\Sigma}
$$

and the restricted duality map $J_{\Sigma}: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma^{*}$ with $J_{\Sigma}=\left.J\right|_{\Sigma}$. Therefore we have

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\Sigma}(u)=\frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{\Sigma}^{p}-\lambda \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(u)+\psi_{\Sigma}(u) .
$$

In the next we verify that the conditions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied by the functional $\mathcal{I}_{\Sigma}$.

PROPOSITION 4.1. If $F: \mathbb{R}^{L} \times \mathbb{R}^{M} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ verifies the conditions ( F 1 )-( F 3 ) and $F(\cdot, s), s \in \mathbb{R}$ is $G$ - invariant, then $\mathcal{I}_{\Sigma}$ satisfies the (PS) condition, for every $\lambda>0$.

Proof. Let $\lambda>0$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ be some fixed numbers and let $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset \Sigma$ be a sequence such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{\Sigma}\left(u_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{p}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\Sigma}^{p}-\lambda \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}\left(u_{n}\right)+\psi_{\Sigma}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for every $v \in \Sigma$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle J_{\Sigma} u_{n}, v-u_{n}\right\rangle+\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}} F^{0}\left(z, u_{n}(z) ; u_{n}(z)-v(z)\right) d z+ \\
& \quad+\psi_{\Sigma}(v)-\psi_{\Sigma}\left(u_{n}\right) \geq-\varepsilon_{n}\left\|v-u_{n}\right\|_{\Sigma} \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for a sequence $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)$ in $\left[0,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$ with $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$.

By (4.1) one concludes that $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset \mathcal{K} \cap \Sigma$. Setting $v=2 u_{n}$ in (4.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle J_{\Sigma} u_{n}, u_{n}\right\rangle+\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}} F^{0}\left(z, u_{n}(z) ;-u_{n}(z)\right) d z \geq-\varepsilon_{n}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\Sigma} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.1) one has for large $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c+1 \geq \frac{1}{p}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\Sigma}^{p}-\lambda \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}\left(u_{n}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We multiply inequality (4.3) with $v^{-1}$ and use Lemma 2.1 to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{n} \frac{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\Sigma}}{v} \geq-\frac{\left\langle J_{\Sigma} u_{n}, u_{n}\right\rangle}{v}-\frac{\lambda}{v} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}} F^{0}\left(z, u_{n}(z) ;-u_{n}(z)\right) d z \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding the inequalities (4.4) and (4.5), and using (F3) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
c+1+\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{v}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\Sigma} \geq & \left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{v}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\Sigma}^{p}-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}}\left[F\left(z, u_{n}(z)\right)+\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{v} F^{0}\left(z, u_{n}(z) ;-u_{n}(z)\right)\right] d z \\
\geq & \left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{v}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\Sigma}^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this, we get that the sequence $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset \mathcal{K} \cap \Sigma$ is bounded. Because $E$ is reflexive, it follows that $\Sigma$ is reflexive too and there exists an element $u \in$ $\Sigma$ such that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly. Since $\mathcal{K} \cap \Sigma$ is closed and convex, we get $u \in$ $\mathcal{K} \cap \Sigma$. Moreover, from (4.2) with $v=u$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle J_{\Sigma} u_{n}, u-u_{n}\right\rangle+\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}} F^{0}\left(z, u_{n}(z) ; u_{n}(z)-u(z)\right) d z \geq-\varepsilon_{n}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{\Sigma} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle J_{\Sigma} u_{n}, u_{n}-u\right\rangle \leq & \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}} F^{0}\left(z, u_{n}(z) ; u_{n}(z)-u(z)\right) d z+\varepsilon_{n}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{\Sigma} \\
\leq & \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}} \max \left\{\xi_{n}(z)\left(u_{n}(z)-u(z)\right): \xi_{n}(z) \in \partial F\left(z, u_{n}(z)\right)\right\} d z \\
& +\varepsilon_{n}| | u_{n}-u \|_{\Sigma} \\
\leq & \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}}\left(\varepsilon\left|u_{n}(z)\right|^{p-1}+c(\varepsilon)\left|u_{n}(z)\right|^{r-1}\right)\left|u_{n}(z)-u(z)\right| d z \\
& +\varepsilon_{n}| | u_{n}-u \|_{\Sigma} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by Hölder's inequality and the fact that the inclusion $\Sigma \hookrightarrow$ $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{L+M}\right)$ is continuous (see Theorem 2.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle J_{\Sigma} u_{n}, u_{n}-u\right\rangle \leq & \lambda \varepsilon C(p)\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{\Sigma}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p-1}+ \\
& +\lambda c(\varepsilon)\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{r}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{r}^{r-1}+\varepsilon_{n}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{\Sigma} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, the inclusion $\Sigma \hookrightarrow L^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{L+M}\right)$ is compact for $\left.r \in\right] p, p^{*}$ ( (see Theorem 2.4), therefore $\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{r} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. For $\rightarrow 0^{+}$and $n \rightarrow+\infty$ we obtain that $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\langle J_{\Sigma} u_{n}, u_{n}-u\right\rangle \leq 0$. Finally, since the duality operator $J_{\Sigma}$ has the ( $S_{+}$) property (see, Proposition 2.1 in [24]) we obtain $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $\mathcal{K}$, because $\mathcal{K}$ is closed.

PROPOSITION 4.2. If $F: \mathbb{R}^{L} \times \mathbb{R}^{M} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ verifies ( F 1 )-(F4) and $F(\cdot, s)$ is $G$-invariant for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$, then for every $\lambda>0$ the following assertions are true:
(i) there exist constants $\alpha_{\lambda}>0$ and $\rho_{\lambda}>0$ such that $\mathcal{I}_{\Sigma}(u) \geq \alpha_{\lambda}$ for all $\|u\|_{\Sigma}=\rho_{\lambda}$;
(ii) there exists $e_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{K}$ with $\left\|e_{\lambda}\right\|>\rho_{\lambda}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\Sigma}\left(e_{\lambda}\right) \leq 0$.

Proof. From Remark 3.1 and from the fact that the embedding $\Sigma \hookrightarrow$ $L^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{L+M}\right)$ is continuous for $l \in\left[p, p^{\star}\right]$, it follows that

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(u) \leq \varepsilon C^{p}(p)\|u\|_{\Sigma}^{p}+c(\varepsilon) C^{r}(r)\|u\|_{\Sigma}^{r},
$$

for every $u \in \Sigma$. It is suffices to restrict our attention to elements $u$ which belong to $\mathcal{K} \cap \Sigma$, otherwise $\mathcal{I}_{\Sigma}(u)$ will be $+\infty$, i.e. (i) holds trivially.

Let $\lambda>0$ be arbitrary. We choose $\varepsilon \in] 0,1 / p \lambda C^{p}(p)[$ and for $u \in \mathcal{K} \cap \Sigma$ we have

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\Sigma}(u)=\frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{\Sigma}^{p}-\lambda \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(u) \geq\left(\frac{1}{p}-\lambda \varepsilon C^{p}(p)\right)\|u\|_{\Sigma}^{p}-\lambda c(\varepsilon) C^{r}(r)\|u\|_{\Sigma}^{r} .
$$

We denote by $A=\frac{1}{p}-\lambda \varepsilon C^{p}(p)$ and $B=\lambda c(\varepsilon) C^{r}(r)$ and we consider the function $g: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $g(t)=A t^{p}-B t^{r}$. The function $g$ attains its global maximum in the point $t_{\lambda}=\left(\frac{p A}{r B}\right)^{\frac{1}{r-p}}$. If we take $\rho_{\lambda}=t_{\lambda}$ and $\alpha_{\lambda} \in$ $] 0, g\left(t_{\lambda}\right)[$, the condition (i) is fulfilled.

To prove (ii) from (b) Remark 3.1 we observe that for every $u \in \mathcal{K} \cap \Sigma$ we have

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\Sigma}(u) \leq \frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{\Sigma}^{p}+\lambda c_{3} C^{p}(p)\|u\|_{\Sigma}^{p}-\lambda c_{2}\|u\|_{\nu}^{\nu} .
$$

If we fix an element $v \in(\mathcal{K} \cap \Sigma) \backslash\{0\}$ and in place of $u$ we put $t v$, then we have

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\Sigma}(t v) \leq\left(\frac{1}{p}+\lambda c_{3} C^{p}(p)\right)\|v\|_{\Sigma}^{p} t^{p}-\lambda c_{2}\|v\|_{\nu}^{v} t^{v}
$$

From this we see that if $t$ is large enough, then $\|t v\|_{\Sigma}>\rho_{\lambda}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\Sigma}(t v)<0$. If we take $e_{\lambda}=t v$ we obtain the desired results.

The Proof of Theorem 3.1. Now we prove that the conditions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied by the functional $\mathcal{I}_{\Sigma}$. Because $F(z, 0)=0$, it follows that

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\Sigma}(0)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}} F(z, 0) d z=0
$$

From Proposition 4.1 we get that $\mathcal{I}_{\Sigma}$ satisfies the $(P S)$ condition. Proposition 4.2 implies that $\mathcal{I}_{\Sigma}$ satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) from Theorem 2.2 , hence the number

$$
c_{\lambda}=\inf _{f \in \Gamma} \sup _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\Sigma}(f(t))
$$

where

$$
\Gamma_{\lambda}=\left\{f \in C([0,1], \Sigma): f(0)=0, \quad f(1)=e_{\lambda}\right\}
$$

is a critical value of $\mathcal{I}_{\Sigma}$ with $c_{\lambda} \geq \alpha_{\lambda}$.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 3.2

Now we give some auxiliary results in order to prove Theorem 3.2. We consider the functional $f: E \times \Lambda \rightarrow$ ] $-\infty,+\infty$ ] given by $f(u, \lambda)=I_{1}(u)+$ $\lambda I_{2}(u)$, where

$$
I_{1}(u)=\frac{1}{p}\|u\|^{p}+\psi_{\mathcal{K}}(u), \quad I_{2}(u)=-\mathcal{F}(u)=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}} F(z, u(z)) d z .
$$

As in Lemma 2.2 we have that every critical point of the function $f=$ $I_{1}+\lambda I_{2}$ is a solution of problem $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$. Using Theorem 2.1 it is sufficient to prove that the functional $f_{\Sigma}=\left.\left(I_{1}+\lambda I_{2}\right)\right|_{\Sigma}$ satisfies conditions from Theorem 2.3, where we choose $h_{1}, \Psi_{1}, h_{2}: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{1}(u)=\frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{\Sigma}^{p}, \quad \Psi_{1}(u)=\psi_{\Sigma}(u), \\
& h_{2}(u)=-\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(u)=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}} F(z, u(z)) d z, u \in \Sigma,
\end{aligned}
$$

and take

$$
I_{1}=h_{1}+\Psi_{1}, \quad I_{2}=h_{2}
$$

First we prove that $\left(a_{1}\right)$ holds.
PROPOSITION 5.1. If $F: \mathbb{R}^{L} \times \mathbb{R}^{M} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ verifies the conditions ( F 1 ) and (F2), then $h_{1}$ is weakly sequentially lower semicontinuous and $h_{2}$ is weakly sequentially continuous.

Proof. The weakly sequentially lower semicontinuity of $h_{1}=1 / p\|\cdot\|_{\Sigma}^{p}$ is standard (every convex lower semicontinuous function is sequentially lower semicontinuous, see e.g. [7]).

In order to prove the weakly sequentially continuity of $h_{2}$ we assume that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is a sequence in $\Sigma$ such that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ (in $\Sigma$ ). We will prove that $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(u)$.

By Lebourg's Mean Value Theorem (see [9]) it follows that there exist $\theta_{n} \in[0,1]$ and $v_{n} \in \partial \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}\left(u+\theta_{n}\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right)$ such that

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}\left(u_{n}\right)-\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(u)=\left\langle v_{n}, u_{n}-u\right\rangle .
$$

We denote $w_{n}=u+\theta_{n}\left(u_{n}-u\right)$. Using the definition of $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}^{0}$, Lemma 2.1 it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}\left(u_{n}\right)-\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(u) & \leq\left(\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}\right)^{0}\left(w_{n} ; u_{n}-u\right) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}} F^{\circ}\left(z, w_{n}(z) ; u_{n}(z)-u(z)\right) d z \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}} \max \left\{\left\langle v(z), u_{n}(z)-u(z)\right\rangle: v \in \partial F\left(z, w_{n}(z)\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we use Remark 3.1 to get

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}\left(u_{n}\right)-\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(u) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}}\left(\varepsilon\left|w_{n}(z)\right|^{p-1}+c(\varepsilon)\left|w_{n}(z)\right|^{r-1}\right)\left|u_{n}(z)-u(z)\right| d z .
$$

We use Hölder's inequality and the fact that the inclusion $\Sigma \hookrightarrow L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{L+M}\right)$ is continuous (see Theorem 2.4) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}\left(u_{n}\right)-\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(u) \leq \varepsilon C(p)\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{\Sigma}\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p-1}+c(\varepsilon) C(r)\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{r}\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{r}^{r-1} . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we use the same ideas as before for $-\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}$ and find the existence of $\tau_{n} \in[0,1]$ and $\hat{v}_{n} \in \partial\left(-\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}\right)\left(u+\tau_{n}\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right)$ such that

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(u)-\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}\left(u_{n}\right)=\left\langle\hat{v}_{n}, u_{n}-u\right\rangle .
$$

We denote $\hat{w}_{n}=u+\tau_{n}\left(u_{n}-u\right)$. Using the definition of $-\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}^{0}$, and properties of the generalized gradient (see [9]), it follows that

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(u)-\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq\left(-\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}\right)^{0}\left(\hat{w}_{n} ; u_{n}-u\right)=\left(\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}\right)^{0}\left(\hat{w}_{n} ; u-u_{n}\right) .
$$

Analogously to (5.1) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(u)-\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq \varepsilon C(p)\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{\Sigma}\left\|\hat{w}_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p-1}+c(\varepsilon) C(r) \times \\
& \times\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{r}\left\|\hat{w}_{n}\right\|_{r}^{r-1} \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (5.1) and (5.2) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}\left(u_{n}\right)-\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(u)\right| \leq & \varepsilon C(p)\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{\Sigma}\left(\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p-1}+\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|\hat{w}_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p-1}\right)+c(\varepsilon) C(r)\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{r}\left(\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{r}^{r-1}+\left\|\hat{w}_{n}\right\|_{r}^{r-1}\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

The inclusion $\Sigma \hookrightarrow L^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{L+M}\right)$ is compact for $\left.r \in\right] p, p^{*}$ [ (see Theorem 2.4), then we get that $\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{r} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$, while the sequences $\left(w_{n}\right)$ and ( $\hat{w}_{n}$ ) are bounded in the $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{r}$ norms. Then in (5.3) we get $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(u)$. Hence $h_{2}$ is weakly sequentially continuous.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. For this let $u \in \mathcal{K} \cap \Sigma$, from condition ( $F^{\prime} 3$ ) and from the fact that the embedding $\Sigma \hookrightarrow L^{\nu}\left(\mathbb{R}^{L+M}\right)$ is continuous and $q<p$ it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{\Sigma}(u, \lambda) \geq \frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{\Sigma}^{p}-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}} \alpha(z)|u(z)|^{q} d z-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}} \beta(z) d z \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{\Sigma}^{p}-\lambda\|\alpha\|_{v}^{v-q}\|u\|_{\nu}^{q}-\lambda\|\beta\|_{1} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{\Sigma}^{p}-\lambda\|\alpha\|_{v-q}^{v-q} \\
& C^{q}(q)\|u\|_{\Sigma}^{q}-\lambda\|\beta\|_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, if $\|u\|_{\Sigma} \rightarrow+\infty$, we have $f_{\Sigma}(u, \lambda) \rightarrow+\infty$. Let $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset \mathcal{K} \cap \Sigma$ be a sequence such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\Sigma}\left(u_{n}, \lambda\right) \rightarrow c \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for every $v \in \Sigma$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle J_{\Sigma} u_{n}, v-u_{n}\right\rangle+\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{L+M}} F^{0}\left(z, u_{n}(z) ; u_{n}(z)-v(z)\right) d z+\psi_{\Sigma}(v)-\psi_{\Sigma}\left(u_{n}\right) \\
& \quad \geq-\varepsilon_{n}\left\|v-u_{n}\right\|_{\Sigma} \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

for a sequence $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)$ in $\left[0,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$ with $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$. From (5.4) follows that the sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $\mathcal{K} \cap \Sigma$ and as in Proposition 4.1 we get that there exists an element $u \in \mathcal{K} \cap \Sigma$ such that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$. Let us define the function

$$
g(t)=\sup \left\{\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(u): \frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{\Sigma}^{p} \leq t\right\}
$$

Using (ii) from Remark 3.1 and the fact that the inclusion $\Sigma \hookrightarrow L^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{L+M}\right)$, $l \in\left[p, p^{\star}\right]$ is continuous, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t) \leq \varepsilon C^{p}(p) t+c(\varepsilon) C^{r}(r) t^{\frac{r}{p}} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand $g(t) \geq 0$ for each $t>0$, then from the above relation we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{g(t)}{t}=0 \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\left(\mathrm{F}^{\prime} 4\right)$ it is clear that $u_{0} \neq 0$ (since $\left.\mathcal{F}(0)=0\right)$. Therefore it is possible to choose a number $\eta$ such that

$$
0<\eta<\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}\left(u_{0}\right)\left[\frac{1}{p}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\Sigma}^{p}\right]^{-1}
$$

From $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} g(t) / t=0$ it follows the existence of a number $t_{0} \in$ $] 0,1 / p\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\Sigma}^{p}\left[\right.$ such that $g\left(t_{0}\right)<\eta t_{0}$. Thus

$$
g\left(t_{0}\right)<\left[\frac{1}{p}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\Sigma}^{p}\right]^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}\left(u_{0}\right) t_{0}
$$

Let $\rho_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(t_{0}\right)<\rho_{0}<\left[\frac{1}{p}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\Sigma}^{p}\right]^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}\left(u_{0}\right) t_{0} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to the choice of $t_{0}$ and (5.8) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{0}<\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}\left(u_{0}\right) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $h: \Lambda=\left[0,+\infty\left[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}\right.\right.$ by $h(\lambda)=\rho_{0} \lambda$. We prove that the function $h$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\sup _{\lambda \in \Lambda} \inf _{u \in \mathcal{K} \cap \Sigma}\left(f_{\Sigma}(u, \lambda)+h(\lambda)\right)<\inf _{u \in \mathcal{K} \cap \Sigma} \sup _{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left(f_{\Sigma}(u, \lambda)+h(\lambda)\right)
$$

The function

$$
\Lambda \ni \lambda \mapsto \inf _{u \in \mathcal{K} \cap \Sigma}\left[\frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{\Sigma}^{p}+\lambda\left(\rho_{0}-\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(u)\right)\right]
$$

is obviously upper semicontinuous on $\Lambda$.
From (5.9) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow+\infty} \inf _{u \in \mathcal{K} \cap \Sigma}\left[f_{\Sigma}(u, \lambda)+\rho_{0} \lambda\right] \leq \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow+\infty}\left[\frac{1}{p}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\Sigma}^{p}+\lambda\left(\rho_{0}-\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}\left(u_{0}\right)\right)\right]=-\infty \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we find an element $\bar{\lambda} \in \Lambda$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\lambda \in \Lambda} \inf _{u \in \mathcal{K} \cap \Sigma}\left(f_{\Sigma}(u, \lambda)+\rho_{0} \lambda\right)=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{K} \cap \Sigma}\left[\frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{\Sigma}^{p}+\bar{\lambda}\left(\rho_{0}-\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(u)\right)\right] . \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $g\left(t_{0}\right)<\rho_{0}$ it follows that for all $u \in \Sigma$ with $1 / p\|u\|_{\Sigma}^{p} \leq t_{0}$, we have $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(u)<\rho_{0}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{0} \leq \inf \left\{\frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{\Sigma}^{p}: \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(u) \geq \rho_{0}\right\} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\inf _{u \in \mathcal{K} \cap \Sigma} \sup _{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left(f_{\Sigma}(u, \lambda)+\rho_{0} \lambda\right) & =\inf _{u \in \mathcal{K} \cap \Sigma}\left[\frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{\Sigma}^{p}+\sup _{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left(\lambda\left(\rho_{0}-\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(u)\right)\right)\right] \\
& =\inf \left\{\frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{\Sigma}^{p}: \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(u) \geq \rho_{0}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (5.12) is equivalent with

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{0} \leq \inf _{u \in \mathcal{K} \cap \Sigma} \sup _{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left[f_{\Sigma}(u, \lambda)+\rho_{0} \lambda\right] \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are two distinct cases:
(I) If $0 \leq \bar{\lambda}<t_{0} / \rho_{0}$, we have

$$
\inf _{u \in \mathcal{K} \cap \Sigma}\left[\frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{\Sigma}^{p}+\bar{\lambda}\left(\rho_{0}-\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(u)\right)\right] \leq f_{\Sigma}(0, \bar{\lambda})=\bar{\lambda} \rho_{0}<t_{0}
$$

Combining the above inequality with (5.11) and (5.13) we obtain the inequality from $\left(a_{2}\right)$ Theorem 2.3.
(II) If $t_{0} / \rho_{0} \leq \bar{\lambda}$, then from $\rho_{0}<\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}\left(u_{0}\right)$ and (5.8) it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\inf _{u \in \mathcal{K} \cap \Sigma}\left[\frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{\Sigma}^{p}+\bar{\lambda}\left(\rho_{0}-\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(u)\right)\right] & \leq \frac{1}{p}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\Sigma}^{p}+\bar{\lambda}\left(\rho_{0}-\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}\left(u_{0}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{p}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\Sigma}^{p}+\frac{t_{0}}{\rho_{0}}\left(\rho_{0}-\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}\left(u_{0}\right)\right)<t_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2.3 implies that there exists an open interval $\Lambda_{0} \subset \Lambda$, such that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{0}$, the function $f_{\Sigma}(\cdot, \lambda)$ has at least three critical points in $\mathcal{K} \cap$ $\Sigma$. Therefore, problem $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ has at least three distinct solutions for every $\lambda \in \Lambda_{0}$. This ends the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Final remark. The results of this article remain true for a more general class of convex functions defined on the cone of positive functions, than the indicator function of $\mathcal{K}$. This will be investigated in a furthercoming paper.

## 6. Appendix-The Principle of Symmetric Criticality for Motreanu-Panagiotopolus functionals

Following the paper of A. Kristály, C. Varga, V. Varga from [18] we present in this section the Principle of Symmetric Criticality for MotreanuPanagiotopolus functionals.

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a Motreanu-Panagiotopoulos type functional, i.e. $\mathcal{I}=h+\psi$, with $h: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ locally Lipschitz and $\psi: X \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ convex, proper (i.e. $\psi \not \equiv$ $+\infty$ ), and lower semicontinuous functions.

One can characterize the critical points (in the sense of Definition 2.1) by means of differential inclusions.

PROPOSITION 6.1 ([15]). An element $u \in X$ is a critical point of $\mathcal{I}=h+\psi$, if and only if $0 \in \partial h(u)+\partial \psi(u)$, where $\partial \psi(u)$ denotes the subdifferential of the convex function $\psi$ at $u$, i.e.

$$
\partial \psi(u)=\left\{x^{*} \in X^{*}: \psi(v)-\psi(u) \geq\left\langle x^{*}, v-u\right\rangle_{X} \text { for every } v \in X\right\} .
$$

Let $G$ be a topological group which acts linearly on $X$, i.e., the action $G \times X \rightarrow X:[g, u] \mapsto g u$ is continuous and for every $g \in G$, the map $u \mapsto g u$ is linear. The group $G$ induces an action of the same type on the dual space $X^{*}$ defined by $\left\langle g x^{*}, u\right\rangle_{X}=\left\langle x^{*}, g^{-1} u\right\rangle_{X}$ for every $g \in G, u \in X$ and $x^{*} \in X^{*}$. A function $h: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ is $G$-invariant if $h(g u)=h(u)$ for every $g \in G$ and $u \in X$. A set $K \subseteq X$ (or $K \subseteq X^{*}$ ) is $G$-invariant if $g K=\{g u: u \in K\} \subseteq K$ for every $g \in G$. Let

$$
\Sigma=\{u \in X: g u=u \text { for every } g \in G\}
$$

be the fixed point set of $X$ under $G$.

In order to give the proof of Theorem 2.1, we recall first some facts from [14]. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi(X)=\{\psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{\infty\}: \psi \text { is convex, proper, lower semicontinuous }\} \\
& \Phi_{G}(X)=\{\psi \in \Phi(X): \psi \text { is } G-\text { invariant }\} \\
& \Gamma_{G}\left(X^{*}\right)=\left\{K \subseteq X^{*}: K \text { is } G-\text { invariant, weak }{ }^{*}-\text { closed, convex }\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

PROPOSITION 6.2 ([14, Theorem 3.16]). Assume that a compact group $G$ acts linearly on a reflexiv Banach space $X$. Then for every $K \in \Gamma_{G}\left(X^{*}\right)$ and $\psi \in \Phi_{G}(X)$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.K\right|_{\Sigma} \cap \partial\left(\left.\psi\right|_{\Sigma}\right)(u) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow K \cap \partial \psi(u) \neq \emptyset, \quad u \in \Sigma \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left.K\right|_{\Sigma}=\left\{\left.x^{*}\right|_{\Sigma}: x^{*} \in K\right\}$ with $\left\langle\left. x^{*}\right|_{\Sigma}, u\right\rangle_{\Sigma}=\left\langle x^{*}, u\right\rangle_{X}, u \in \Sigma$.

Let $A: X \rightarrow X$ be the averaging operator over $G$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A u=\int_{G} g u d \mu(g), \quad u \in X \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ is the normalized Haar measure on $G$. Relation (6.2) means

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x^{*}, A u\right\rangle_{X}=\int_{G}\left\langle x^{*}, g u\right\rangle_{X} d \mu(g), u \in X, x^{*} \in X^{*} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to verify that $A$ is a continuous linear projection from $X$ to $\Sigma$ and for every $G$-invariant closed convex set $K \subseteq X$ we have $A(K) \subseteq K$. The adjoint operator $A^{*}: \Sigma^{*} \rightarrow X^{*}$ of $A: X \rightarrow \Sigma$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle w^{*}, A z\right\rangle_{\Sigma}=\left\langle A^{*} w^{*}, z\right\rangle_{X}, \quad z \in X, \quad w^{*} \in \Sigma^{*} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

LEMMA 6.1 Let $h: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a $G$-invariant locally Lipschitz function and $u \in \Sigma$. Then
(a) $\left.\partial\left(\left.h\right|_{\Sigma}\right)(u) \subseteq \partial h(u)\right|_{\Sigma}$.
(b) $\partial h(u) \in \Gamma_{G}\left(X^{*}\right)$.

Proof. (a) Let us fix $w^{*} \in \partial\left(\left.h\right|_{\Sigma}\right)(u)$. Then by definition, one has

$$
\left\langle w^{*}, v\right\rangle_{\Sigma} \leq\left(\left.h\right|_{\Sigma}\right)^{0}(u ; v) \text { for every } v \in \Sigma
$$

First, a simple estimation shows that $\left(\left.h\right|_{\Sigma}\right)^{0}(u ; v) \leq h^{0}(u ; v)$ for every $v \in \Sigma$. Thus, applying the above inequality for $v=A z \in \Sigma$ with $z \in X$ arbitrarily fixed, by (6.4) one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle A^{*} w^{*}, z\right\rangle_{X}=\left\langle w^{*}, A z\right\rangle_{\Sigma} \leq h^{0}(u ; A z) . \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using [9, Proposition 2.1.2 (b)] and (6.3), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{0}(u ; A z) & =\max \left\{\left\langle x^{*}, A z\right\rangle_{X}: x^{*} \in \partial h(u)\right\} \\
& =\max \left\{\int_{G}\left\langle x^{*}, g z\right\rangle_{X} d \mu(g): x^{*} \in \partial h(u)\right\} \\
& \leq \int_{G} h^{0}(u ; g z) d \mu(g)=\int_{G} h^{0}\left(g^{-1} u ; z\right) d \mu(g)=\int_{G} h^{0}(u ; z) d \mu(g) \\
& =h^{0}(u ; z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this relation with (6.5), we conclude that $A^{*} w^{*} \in \partial h(u)$. Since $w^{*}=\left.A^{*} w^{*}\right|_{\Sigma}$, we obtain that $\left.w^{*} \in \partial h(u)\right|_{\Sigma}$.
(b) Since $\partial h(u)$ is a nonempty, convex and weak*-compact subset of $X^{*}$ (see [9, Proposition 2.1.2 (a)]), it is enough to prove that $\partial h(u)$ is $G$-invariant, i.e., $g \partial h(u) \subseteq \partial h(u)$ for every $g \in G$. To this end, let us fix $g \in G$ and $x^{*} \in \partial h(u)$. Then, for every $z \in X$ we have

$$
\left\langle g x^{*}, z\right\rangle_{X}=\left\langle x^{*}, g^{-1} z\right\rangle_{X} \leq h^{0}\left(u ; g^{-1} z\right)=h^{0}(g u ; z)=h^{0}(u ; z),
$$

i.e., $g x^{*} \in \partial h(u)$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $u \in \Sigma$ be a critical point of $\left.\mathcal{I}\right|_{\Sigma}$. Applying Proposition 6.1 one has $0 \in \partial\left(\left.h\right|_{\Sigma}\right)(u)+\partial\left(\left.\psi\right|_{\Sigma}\right)(u)$. Moreover, due to Lemma 6.1(a) we have

$$
\emptyset \neq-\partial\left(\left.h\right|_{\Sigma}\right)(u) \cap \partial\left(\left.\psi\right|_{\Sigma}\right)(u) \subseteq-\left.\partial h(u)\right|_{\Sigma} \cap \partial\left(\left.\psi\right|_{\Sigma}\right)(u) .
$$

By choosing $K=\partial h(u)$ in Proposition 6.2 and taking into account Lemma 6.1(b), relation (6.1) implies that $\emptyset \neq-\partial h(u) \cap \partial \psi(u)$. Thus, in particular $0 \in \partial h(u)+\partial \psi(u)$, i.e., $u$ is indeed a critical point of $\mathcal{I}$.
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